



Module 1: Public, Population and Personal Health

Assessment Brief

1 There are two parts to this assessment and BOTH PARTS MUST BE COMPLETED

- **1** Part A is allocated 50% of the overall grade for the module.
- **1** Part B is allocated 50% of the overall grade for the module.

Part A: Health Protection

A presentation depicting the burden of tuberculosis and how it may be controlled at a population level. *50% of module grade*

Description

Imagine you have started work as a consultant to advise on the control of tuberculosis in a health region in a country of your choice with a population of 500,000 people and an annual incidence of 150 cases per 100,000 people. You have a briefing meeting with members of the Regional Directorate for Health to present your plan.

Design a power point presentation to communicate the following information:

- 1. The national burden of tuberculosis and how this compares regionally and globally.
- 2. Risk factors for the spread of tuberculosis at an individual and population level. Compare the impact of these different risk factors and identify, based on evidence, which are the most important to address.
- 3. Describe how the spread of tuberculosis could be controlled using a whole system approach within the region. Identify the main features and why this program would be effective.
- 4. Identify the likely challenges to implementation and how these might be addressed.





PowerPoint to be used to create content that you will share during your recording:

- 8-10 slides (excluding a title slide and reference slide).
- Time limit: 10 minutes +/- 1 min.
- You should use your laptop/desktop computer to record your presentation.

• It is advised that you use ZOOM to record your presentation, as you are already familiar with the application., but if you wish to record in PowerPoint, this is also accepted.

• Camera must be switched on during slide share and on throughout the whole recordingyour face should always be visible.

• PowerPoint slides must be uploaded to Turnitin for a plagiarism check – this must be completed before the submission of recording – exact timings will be communicated in tutorials and via Canvas platform.

• The recording file must be uploaded into Canvas platform when the assignment is due for submission.

• Take into consideration that the recording file will need time to be correctly uploaded in Canvas, we recommend you start the submission at least two hours before the due date/time.

• If you experience any issues when submitting your presentation, please contact us at publichealthsupport@iheed.org as soon as possible.

• Please see the canvas upload guide for further information: <u>What types of media files can I upload</u> in Canvas a... - Instructure Community (canvasIms.com)





Part B: Disease Prevention

2,000 Words (+/- 10%). 50% of module grade

Diabetes is one of the leading global burden of diseases. In considering the burden of diabetes in your country (or a country of your choice) please address each part of the following questions

- Describe the burden of diabetes, including its complications, in your country (or in a country of your choice) using measures of disease occurrence and impact. How have these changed over time and how does this compare with other countries? Evaluate the reliability of the data you are using.
- Discuss the determinants of diabetes in general. Using evidence, discuss which determinants are most important in your country and may give rise to the patterns described above.

3. Describe:

- One vertical programme that could be implemented to reduce the prevalence of diabetes in a selected high prevalence population in your country; and
- ii. One horizontal programme that could be implemented to improve the health of people with diabetes in your country.

For both programmes say why you think they would be effective.

Discuss how you would measure the impact of both these programmes and discuss the barriers to their successful implementation





Instructions to Candidates

SUBMISSION DATE:

DUE DATE in Canvas Calendar and Assessment Submission window.

SUBMISSION GUIDELINES:

- 1. Note that the submission date is the **Due** date on Canvas, NOT the **Available** date.
- 2. Include a **cover sheet** and statement of authenticity.
- 3. Save using your Student Number (Example: "Student 58672028 Module 1 Part A")
- 4. Retain a copy of the assessment work/project submitted.
 - It is the student's responsibility to ensure that assessments are successfully uploaded to the iheed Learning Environment by the specified deadline. You are advised to check that this is the case.
 - If you do not submit an assessment or if you upload an incorrect document, you will receive zero marks and must repeat the assessment.

LATE SUBMISSION:

- If you are experiencing difficulties with meeting deadlines, contact your programme team at <u>publichealthsupport@iheed.org</u> as soon as possible and inform them of your circumstances. They will advise you on the best course of action.
- Students may apply for an extension for assessments more than 1 week before the deadline. Extensions submitted less than 7 days from the deadline will not be considered, except for life emergencies.
- 3. Extension Request Forms and Extenuating Circumstances Forms are available in the submission window of the Assessment in the Resources tab in Canvas.
 - Late submissions are penalized at a rate of 5% per day after the submission deadline.





WORD COUNT: 2000 words +/- 10%

- Unless otherwise stated the word count excludes the cover page/ reference list/ bibliography/ appendices/ figures & tables.
- Penalties will be applied for failing to keep within the word count. Students may go beyond the word count by ±10% without penalty. Once they fall into the ±11% range or greater, a penalty applies (10% of your grade in Communication and Referencing rubric criteria will be reduced per 500 words).

RESUBMISSION:

Candidates have two attempts at each assessment. Candidates who submit late without an agreed extension or do not submit at all will fail on the first attempt, and be required to resubmit, with the mark capped at 50% (pass). A similarity report of greater than 20% is deemed unacceptable and will be send to Academic Integrity Committee at University of Warwick.

REFERENCING:

All work submitted to meet course requirements at iheed and the University of Warwick is expected to be a student's own work. Students should be careful to distinguish their ideas from those derived from other sources, e.g., course notes, journals, and books. Information and opinions drawn from other sources should be attributed by a reference.

When referencing sources, ensure that your referencing is correct and consistent using Harvard style. Refer to the resources on the platform for further advice on referencing (Academic Writing sessions, Harvard Referencing Style Guide, etc. in the Resource tab in Canvas)

PLAGIARISM:

Assessed work is submitted through Turnitin UK, a source-matching software and uses a global database of millions of entries from other universities and internet sources. Turnitin produces a report that shows matches of source material to student submissions. This is known as a similarity report.

All Turnitin reports are reviewed before marking takes place and, where plagiarism is suspected, an independent investigation is undertaken where the student is invited to explain how the matches may have occurred.

A similarity report of greater than 20% is deemed unacceptable and will be referred to Academic Integrity Committee at University of Warwick.





Pre-submission Checklist

Before submission, check

- 1. Did you apply for the correct student number your name must not appear anywhere on the assessment; all assignments must be anonymous.
- 2. Did you check your word count?
- 3. Did you answer all parts of the question?
- 4. Did you structure the submission clearly?
- 5. Did you use accurate and sufficient referencing?
- 6. Did you consider the grading rubric when writing the submission?
- 7. Did you check your similarity score? (You can submit your assessment in the platform before the submission due date to check your similarity report. If it exceeds 20%, please review your references and amend them. Once it is done, you can resubmit, and the previous document will be dismissed)





Grading Rubric:

Rubric 1 – PRESENTATION RUBRIC

Knowledge & Understanding (30% of Grade)

Public Health Rubric Presentation									
Criteria Knowledge (30%)		Ratings							
	60 to >47.0 pts A+	47 to >41.0 pts A	41 to >36.0 pts B	36 to >29.0 pts C	29 to >23.0 pts D	23 to >0 pts E			
	Demonstrates excellent understanding of the key concepts relevant to the title. Defines all terms clearly and precisely.	Demonstrates very good understanding of the key concepts relevant to the title. Defines most terms clearly and precisely.	Demonstrates good understanding of the key concepts relevant to the title. Defines most terms, though not always with clear precision.	Demonstrates general understanding of the key concepts relevant to the title. Defines some terms, though not always with clear precision.	Demonstrates little or confused understanding of the key concepts relevant to the title. Usually attempts to define terms, though attempts are not always successful.	Demonstrates no understanding of the key concepts relevant to the title. No attempt to define key terms .	60 pts		

Analysis & Evaluation (50% of Grade)

Analysis/Evaluation	100 to >79.0 pts	79 to >69.0 pts	69 to >59.0 pts	59 to >49.0 pts	49 to >39.0 pts	39 to >0 pts	
(50%)	A+	Α	В	С	D	E	
	Actions are described	Most actions are	An attempt is made	An incomplete	An incomplete	Little	
	and linked effectively	described and linked	to describe actions	description or	description or	description or	
	to relevant sections.	effectively to relevant	and link to relevant	analysis of actions	analysis of actions	analysis of	
	Clear analysis of	sections, though some	sections.	with linking to	with little linking to	actions. Unclear	
	evidence and relevant	parts may be lacking in	Conclusions drawn	relevant sections.	relevant sections.	or incorrect	100 pts
	conclusions.	description or	but not linked well to	Conclusions are	Conclusions are	analysis and	
		development Analysis of	concepts or analysis.	attempted but not	attempted but	conclusions.	
		evidence with mostly		always successful.	unsuccessful.		
		relevant conclusions					
		linked to evidence.					

Communication & Referencing (20% of Grade)

Communication (20%)	40 to >31.0 pts A+	31 to >28.0 pts A	28 to >24.0 pts B	24 to >19.0 pts C	19 to >15.0 pts D	15 to >0 pts No marks	
	Very competent language use which is suitably formal in tone. Excellent presentation skills and use of visual aids, draws attention to the relevant parts of the slides; the slides echo what is being said. Number of slides is within the given limit.	Competent, fluent language use, meeting all conventions. Very good presentation skills and use of visual aids, mostly draws attention to the relevant parts of the slides or the slides mostly echo what is being said. Number of slides is within the given limit.	Very effective language use. Some errors have a minimal impact on communication. Very good command of presentation skills and visual aids of a good standard; at points engages with the slides, but this is at times perfunctory. Number of slides is within the given limit.	Effective language use. Some errors have a minimal impact on communication. Good command of presentation skills and visual aids of a good standard; at points engages with the slides, but this is often perfunctory. Number of slides is within the given limit.	Errors in language use can have an impact on communication. Reasonable presentation skills and visual aids are acceptable. Number of slides is not within the given limit.	Significant language errors have a negative impact on communication. Presentation skills are poor. Number of slides is not within the given limit. Visual aids are under-prepared.	40 pts





Rubric 2 - ESSAY RUBRIC

Knowledge & Understanding (30% of Grade)

Criteria	Ratings								
Knowledge & Jnderstanding	60 to >47 pts Distinction: A+ Evidence of	47 to >41 pts Distinction: A Evidence of very	41 to >35 pts Merit Evidence of	35 to >29.9 pts Pass Evidence of relevant	29.9 to >23 pts Fail Some evidence of	23 to >0 pts Serious Fail Little evidence	0 pts No marks		
	extremely widespread reading from a very wide variety of credible and contemporary sources. Outstanding integration into the assignment to support the	widespread reading from a wide variety of credible and contemporary sources. Excellent integration into the assignment to support the assignment content with claims very	extensive background reading from a wide variety of credible and contemporary sources. Reference material is integrated well to support the assignment content	background reading. Reference material is generally integrated and used in a suitable way to substantiate the assignment content. The aims of the assignment are clearly stated and it	background reading but this is poorly integrated. Claims are inadequately substantiated. The question asked has been addressed inadequately demonstrating	that suitable background material has been accessed to support the assignment content. Claims are not substantiated. The question asked has			
	discussion with claims excellently substantiated. The aims of the assignment are clearly stated and it demonstrates specialised knowledge relating to the question asked, identifying key issues coherently and providing an extremely thorough overview of relevant theoretical concepts.	well substantiated. The aims of the assignment are clearly stated and it addresses the question asked extremely well, identifying key issues coherently and providing a thorough overview of relevant theoretical concepts.	and claims are generally well substantiated. The aims of the assignment are clearly stated and it addresses the question asked very well, demonstrating a good understanding of the key issues and providing a good overview of relevant theoretical concepts.	addresses the question asked, demonstrating a satisfactory level of understanding of the key issues and providing a suitable overview of relevant theoretical concepts.	limited understanding of the key issues and scant overview of relevant theoretical concepts.	not been addressed demonstrating poor understanding of the key issues and not providing sufficient overview of relevant theoretical concepts.		60 pt	





Analysis & Evaluation (50% of Grade)

Analysis & Evaluation	100 to >80 pts Distinction: A+	80 to >70 pts Distinction: A	70 to ≻60 pts Merit	60 to >49.9 pts Pass	49.9 to >36 pts Fail	36 to >0 pts Serious Fail	0 pts No	
	Outstanding	Excellent evidence	Very good	Whilst there is	Limited evidence of	Little or no	marks	
	evidence of critical	of critical analysis	evidence of critical	evidence of critical	critical analysis and	evidence of		
	analysis and critical	and critical	analysis and	analysis and critical	critical evaluation of	critical analysis		
	evaluation of the	evaluation of the	critical evaluation	evaluation of the	the literature /	or critical		
	literature /	literature/	of the literature/	literature/ theoretical	theoretical concepts	evaluation of the		
	theoretical concepts.	theoretical	theoretical	concepts, some issues	and much of the	literature /		
	Extremely insightful	concepts	concepts. There is	required further	writing is	theoretical		
	synthesis of ideas	Excellent evidence	a good level of	exploration. There is a	descriptive. There is	concepts and the		
	and key concepts to	of synthesis of ideas	synthesis of ideas	competent level of	limited evidence of	discussion is		
	construct a logical	and key concepts to	and key concepts	synthesis of ideas and	synthesis. The	entirely		
	discussion and	construct a logical	to construct a	key concepts. The	discussion is not	descriptive.		
	conclusion with	discussion and	logical discussion	discussion is mostly	logical or is poorly	There is little or		
	outstanding	conclusion with	and conclusion	logical. Judgements are	constructed.	no evidence of		
	judgements. The	excellent	with good	credible. There is	Judgements lack	synthesis. The		100
	material is managed	judgements. The	judgements. The	evidence of originality	credibility. There is a	discussion is		
	in an exceptionally	material is managed	material is	of thought, although	lack of originality in	illogical. Few or		
	creative way	in a highly creative	managed in a	some areas are	the way the material	no credible		
	demonstrating	way demonstrating	creative way with	underdeveloped and	is handled. If	judgements are		
	innovation and	evidence of	expression of	managed in an	relevant, little	formed. No		
	evidence of	originality of	some original	unimaginative /	evidence of	evidence of		
	originality of	thought. Where	thought. Where	illogical way. Where	reflection with	original and		
	thought. Where	relevant, excellent	relevant, good	relevant, there is	structured models of	innovative		
	relevant.	evidence of	evidence of	evidence of competent	reflection not used	thought or		
	outstanding	reflection with use	reflection with use	reflection with some	or used poorly.	creative use of		
	evidence of	of structured	of structured	use of structured		concepts. If		
	reflection with use	models of reflection	model(s) of	model(s) of reflection.		relevant, little or		
	of structured models		reflection.			no evidence of		
	of reflection.					reflection.		

Communication & Referencing (20% of Grade)

& Referencing		31 to >27 pts Distinction: A	27 to >23 pts Merit	23 to >19 pts Pass	19 to >15 pts Fail	15 to >0 pts Serious Fail	0 pts No	
	guidance is rigorously interpreted. Writing is remarkably articulate and there is an exceptional, logical, coherent structure. Referencing	Excellent interpretation of assignment guidance. Writing is highly articulate and there is a logical, coherent structure. Referencing technique is excellent. Word limit is adhered to.	Assignment guidance is well interpreted. The writing is articulate and there is a logical flow of information expressed through a clear assignment structure. Work is accurately referenced. Word limit is adhered to.	Competent interpretation of assignment guidance. Ideas and concepts are adequately expressed. There are clear attempts to provide a logical structure but there are some inconsistencies in the approach used. Referencing technique is satisfactory. Word limit is adhered to.	There are attempts to interpret the assignment guidance but some of these are flawed. The assignment lacks a clear and logical structure and due to poor writing skills, ideas and concepts are not adequately expressed. Does not maintain an accurate referencing technique. Unsatisfactory length.	The assignment demonstrates little relevance to the assessment guidance. The assignment lacks structure and there is poor use of language including several and recurrent grammatical and syntax errors. The expression of meaning is very weak. There are numerous referencing errors. Unsatisfactory length.	marks	40 pts