



Rubrics for Research Proposal

Research Proposal (100%)	Distinction		Merit	Pass	Fail	
	18- 20	14- 17	10-13	7-9	4 - 6	0 – 3
Aim & Objectives (20%)	The Aim and objectives are relevant to the problem and correct.	The Aim and objectives are well written and clearly stated.	The Aim and objectives are almost on point but need to be improvised	The Aim and objectives need more clarity in their description.	The Aim and objectives are not clearly stated	The Aim and objectives are not stated even after reminders.
	13- 15	10- 12	7-9	5-6	3 - 4	0 – 2
Introduction (15%)	The Introduction section is brilliantly written and identifies the arguments showcasing the depth of problems.	The Introduction section is excellent in description and states the arguments of the research problem clearly.	The Introduction section is concisely written in a good manner but is unable to state the arguments of the research problem clearly.	The Introduction section needs more clarity in its relevance as the research arguments are yet not clear.	The Introduction section is not clear in the present state.	The Introduction section is not stated even after reminders.
	30 - 25	21 - 24	18 - 20	15 - 17	8 - 14	0 – 7
Background & related research (30%)	The background research is outstanding and review is systematic using multiple searches and databases.	The background research is carried out very carefully using systematic combinations of search terms, with minor deficiencies.	The background research is carried out using appropriate approaches, but the scope can further be widened.	The background research is neither complete nor systematic, but adequate to identify a share of the literature.	The background research fails to sufficiently identify the literature to build an informative review.	The background research is not complete even after reminders .
	30 - 25	21 - 24	18 - 20	15 - 17	8 - 14	0 – 7
Methodology (30%)	The methodology has been articulated very creatively and is highly appropriate and justified for he research project.	The methodology is broadly explained and well-argued for the research project.	The methodology is explained appropriately for the research project.	The methodology of the research project is broadly outlined, but details are not very clear	The methodology is not fairly articulated, depicting deficits in understanding of the research project.	Parts of the methodology is missing or not relevant with respect to the research project.
	5	4	3	2.5	1	0
Expected Outcome (5%)	The research project brings out global implications and exhibits new body of knowledge, practice and future research	The research project highlights national implications to a new body of knowledge, practice and future research	The research project has local implications to a new body of knowledge, practice and future research.	The research project has societal implications to the body of knowledge, practice and future research.	The research project has limited implications to the body of knowledge, practice and future research.	The research project is unable to exhibit implications to the body of knowledge, practice and future research.

Rubrics for Interim Report

Interim Report (100%)	Distinction		Merit	Pass	Fail	
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
General structure, formatting, and Layout (10%)	An outstanding Interim report has been produced showcasing layout of professional standards.	An Interim report of a good structure has been produced containing suitable sections and correct referencing.	A reasonably good Interim report has been produced with all required sections. In some areas, there are minor deficiencies.	The Interim report is weak in many sections and overall presentation. The requirements are not addressed at a suitable level.	The Interim report is poor in presentation and lacks in overall structuring.	The Interim report does not adequately represent any formal structure of the report.
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
Grammar and Spelling, Writing Style (10%)	Interim report is excellent and is written in professional manner without grammatical errors	Interim report is Clear and consistent in writing, with few grammatical or spelling errors.	Interim report is good in writing, with some errors and little inconsistency in spellings.	Interim report is not written very nicely, but still understandable, with some errors and inconsistencies.	Interim report is poorly drafted with many grammatical errors making its meaning difficult to interpret	Interim report falls far below the professional standards for submission and is difficult to follow.
	17 - 20	14 - 16	12 - 13	10 - 11	5 - 9	0 - 4
Introduction (20%)	Introduction is outstanding in formulation of a problem, research questions, aim, objectives, justification of the project.	Introduction is good in formulation of the problem, with all the necessary components, but there are some minor deficiencies.	Introduction is well written, but few components are not appropriate. Many components are clear and can be understood.	Introduction is easily understandable and justified, but there are some major deficiencies in some components.	Introduction chapter fails to identify and justify the problem, aim and objectives.	Introduction is very weak in formulation and exhibits major deficiencies in necessary components
	17 - 20	14 - 16	12 - 13	10 - 11	5 - 9	0 - 4
Literature Review (20%)	Literature Review is Outstanding and is presented in a systematic manner using multiple searches and databases.	Literature Review is carried out very carefully using systematic combinations of search terms, with minor deficiencies.	Literature Review is carried out using appropriate approaches, but the scope can further be widened.	Literature Review is neither complete nor systematic, but adequate to identify a share of the literature.	Literature Review fails to sufficiently identify the literature to build an informative review.	Literature Review is not complete even after reminders .
	17 - 20	14 - 16	12 - 13	10 - 11	5 - 9	0 - 4
Research Methods (20%)	The methodology has been articulated very creatively and is highly appropriate and justified for he research project.	The methodology is broadly explained and well-argued for the research project.	The methodology is explained appropriately for the research project.	The methodology of the research project is broadly outlined, but details are not very clear	The methodology is not fairly articulated, depicting deficits in understanding of the research project.	Parts of the methodology is missing or not relevant with respect to the research project.
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
Focus and clarity of argument (10%)	The research project brings out global implications and exhibits new body of knowledge, practice and future research	The research project highlights national implications to a new body of knowledge, practice and future research	The research project has local implications to a new body of knowledge, practice and future research.	The research project has societal implications to the body of knowledge, practice and future research.	The research project has limited implications to the body of knowledge, practice and future research.	The research project is unable to exhibit implications to the body of knowledge, practice and future research.
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
Citation & references (10%)	The referencing is accurate and relevant to the research area. Citations are from recent sources and reliable references with correct APA format.	Majority of the references are recent and reliable. Citations are correctly mentioned in APA format	Most of the references are up to date but can be upgraded. There are some outdated and non-reliable sources, with minor corrections of formatting.	Most of the references and citations are acceptable, but lack in professional sources, acceptable format with some mistakes.	Most of the references are outdated and unreliable, formatting is poor with many mistakes.	Referencing is done in a very poor manner with incorrect and irrelevant citations.

Rubrics for Final Project Report

Project Report (100%)	Distinction		Merit	Pass	Fail	
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
General structure, formatting, and Layout (10%)	An outstanding Project Report has been produced showcasing layout of professional standards.	Project Report of a good structure has been produced containing suitable sections and correct referencing.	A reasonably good Project Report has been produced with all required sections. In some areas, there are minor deficiencies.	The Project Report is weak in many sections and overall presentation. The requirements are not addressed at a suitable level.	The Project Report is poor in presentation and lacks in overall structuring.	The Project Report does not adequately represent any formal structure of the report.
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
Grammar and Spelling, Writing Style (10%)	Project Report is excellent and is written in professional manner without grammatical errors	Project Report is Clear and consistent in writing, with few grammatical or spelling errors.	Project Report is good in writing, with some errors and little inconsistency in spellings.	Project Report is not written very nicely, but still understandable, with some errors and inconsistencies.	Project Report is poorly drafted with many grammatical errors making its meaning difficult to interpret	Project Report falls far below the professional standards for submission and is difficult to follow.
	17 - 20	14 - 16	12 - 13	10 - 11	5 - 9	0 - 4
Modification of First 3 Chapters based on the comments of Interim Report (20%)	All the comments are incorporated and the Interim Report has been modified and also excellently improvised	Almost all comments have been incorporated. Many modifications are done with an improvement of Interim Report.	Many modifications are incorporated in the chapters. The Interim Report has improved marginally, but still could be improved.	Improvements in the Interim Report are satisfactory but not precisely according to the given comments.	Majority of comments in the Interim Report are not attended. Rigorous attempts are required to improve the work.	None of the comments have been attended for modifications in the chapters..
	17 - 20	14 - 16	12 - 13	10 - 11	5 - 9	0 - 4
Experiments, Implementation, Tools, Development, Simulation etc. (20%)	Appropriate tools are professionally used in implementation of the method. The details of work is perfectly explained.	Very good usage of appropriate tools and resources, with a complete report of experiments.	Relevant tools have been used in implementation of the method. The experiment is clearly explained but still could be improved.	Usage of appropriate tools and resources. The report of implementation is adequate but details of work can be improvised.	There are few evidences of implementation of tools and resources, however, the experiments are of basic level and the report is unsatisfactory.	There is hardly any evidence of implementation or using of tools. The report is very poor in the current state and does not reflect the experiments.
	17 - 20	14 - 16	12 - 13	10 - 11	5 - 9	0 - 4
Results, Analysis, Findings, & Discussion (20%)	The results are summarized in an outstanding manner. Managerial implications are robust and critical.	The results are good and correct, Critical analysis and discussion are also provided.	Results with appropriate analysis and discussion are provided, but still scope of improvement is there	Satisfactory results with managerial implications are present but no critical analyses are provided.	Little analysis is provided and less discussion is there on the results. The current result analysis is not in satisfactory stage.	The result and discussion is very poor and not providing relevant implications.
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
Conclusion, Implication, & Recommendations (10%)	The conclusion section meets outstanding implications and recommendations and successfully addresses all the objectives.	The conclusion section is in good structure and meets objectives. There are noble implications and recommendations.	The conclusion section is satisfactory which shows the fulfilment of objectives, but still could be improved.	Conclusion section does not fully address the objectives. Recommendations are also partially addressed.	The conclusion section suggests recommendations but all are not very relevant. It also does not show the fulfilment of objectives.	The conclusion section has little evidence of implications and recommendations.
	9 - 10	7 - 8	6	5	3 - 4	0 - 2
Citation & references (10%)	There is full, accurate, and professional citations of very recent sources and reliable references with correct format.	Sources are generally cited correctly, the majority of references are recent and reliable, and format is mostly correct.	Citations are mostly done, and references are up to date, but there are some outdated and non-reliable sources, suitable format.	References and citations are acceptable, but lack of high quality and professional sources, acceptable format with some mistakes.	Inadequate citations of sources, outdated and unreliable references, poor format with many mistakes.	Very poor citations, references, and format.