

T1 2021 MLL327 Property Research Paper 1 Rubric

Performance Levels/Criteria	N (0-29)	N (30-49)	P (50-59)	C (60-69)	D (70-79)	HD (80-100)
Identification of the legal issues, ULO3, GLO4, (2 marks)	0.3 points Does not identify any of the issues. (0-0.5 marks)	0.8 points Does not adequately identify a substantial number of important issues. (0.6-0.9 marks)	1.1 points Identifies an adequate number of important issues but a significant number were not addressed and/or judgment was lacking about weighting of issues. (1-1.1 marks)	1.3 points Identifies the majority of the important issues but a number were not addressed and/or judgment was sometimes lacking about the weight to be given to particular issues. (1.2-1.3 marks)	1.5 points Identifies most of the important issues and generally exercises good judgment about the weight to be given to particular issues. (1.4-1.5 marks)	2 points Identifies all or virtually all of the issues and correctly weights the issues. (1.6-2 marks)
Identification of the applicable law and relevant sources, ULO1, ULO2 & ULO4, GLO1 & GLO3, (18 marks)	2.7 points Demonstrates no understanding of the applicable legal principles and no use of supporting authorities. (0-5.3 marks)	7.2 points Does not demonstrate an adequate understanding of the applicable legal principles and/or inadequate use of supporting authorities. (5.4-8.9 marks)	9.9 points Demonstrates a satisfactory understanding of the applicable legal principles though some legal errors were made. Identifies supporting authorities for the main propositions. (9-10.7 marks)	11.7 points Demonstrates a good understanding of the applicable legal principles though some legal errors were made. Identifies supporting authorities for most propositions. (10.8-12.5 marks)	13.5 points Demonstrates a very good understanding of the applicable legal principles and any legal errors were only minor. Identifies supporting authorities for most propositions. (12.6-14.3 marks)	18 points Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the applicable legal principles and any errors were very minor. Identifies supporting authorities for all or virtually all propositions. (14.4-18 marks)

<p>Application of the law to the facts, ULO3, GLO1 & GLO4, (15 marks)</p>	<p>2.2 points</p> <p>No analysis of the facts or the law, and no attempt has been made to apply the law.</p> <p>(0-4.4 marks)</p>	<p>6 points</p> <p>Analysis of the facts and the law is inadequate, e.g. because contains significant errors in application and/or little real attempt is made to apply the law.</p> <p>(4.5-7.4 marks)</p>	<p>8.2 points</p> <p>Analysis of facts and law adequate overall, though the analysis lacked depth on important issues and/or there were errors in application.</p> <p>(7.5-8.9 marks)</p>	<p>9.7 points</p> <p>Analysis of facts and law adequate overall, though the analysis lacked depth on important issues and/or there were errors in application.</p> <p>(9-10.4 marks)</p>	<p>11.2 points</p> <p>Analysis of the facts and law is very good and any errors in application are only minor.</p> <p>(10.5-11.9 marks)</p>	<p>15 points</p> <p>Analysis of the facts and law is to a high standard throughout the paper.</p> <p>(12-15 marks)</p>
<p>Presentation and communication, ULO4, GLO2, (5 marks)</p>	<p>0.7 points</p> <p>Lack of any expression of principles or language and structure.</p> <p>Demonstrates no awareness of purpose and context.</p> <p>(0-1.4 marks)</p>	<p>2 points</p> <p>Principles are not clearly and succinctly expressed and/or the structure of the paper is poor and/or language used is too informal and fails to demonstrate awareness of purpose and context.</p> <p>(1.5-2.4 marks)</p>	<p>2.7 points</p> <p>Presentation, structure, and clarity of expression is satisfactory, though could have been improved in some significant areas.</p> <p>Language generally appropriate for purpose and context.</p> <p>(2.5-2.9 marks)</p>	<p>3.2 points</p> <p>Presentation, structure, and clarity of expression is good, though improvement was warranted.</p> <p>Language appropriate for purpose/context.</p> <p>(3-3.4 marks)</p>	<p>3.7 points</p> <p>The principles have generally been clearly and succinctly explained following a logical structure and presentation is good.</p> <p>Language appropriate for purpose/context.</p> <p>(3.5-3.9 marks)</p>	<p>5 points</p> <p>Presentation, structure, and clarity of expression is excellent throughout.</p> <p>Language is very appropriate for purpose/context.</p> <p>(4-5 marks)</p>
<p>Overall 40</p>	<p>N 0 or above</p>	<p>N 12 or above</p>	<p>P 20 or above</p>	<p>C 24 or above</p>	<p>D 28 or above</p>	<p>HD 32 or above</p>